

PROJECT MOOSE RECORD CARD

23 JUL 54

NAHANT, MASSACHUSETTS

- No indication
- Ground-based
- Radar
- Airplane
- Spacecraft
- Astronomical
- Meteorological
- Unusual

3. DATE AND TIME
Local 21/0015 EDT

4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION

- Ground Visual
- Ground-Radar
- Air Visual
- Air Intercept Radar
- Source

4. PHOTOS
None

COAST GUARDSMEN

5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION

6. NUMBER OF OBJECTS

3 min

Two

Unknown

7. DESCRIPTION OF OBJECTS

Two disk-shaped, bluish lights approximately 5 ft in diameter, traveled side-by-side at a relatively high rate of speed at an altitude of 1000 to 2000 ft, objects then made a sharp turn, gained altitude, and disappeared.

PROJECT 10073 WORKSHEET

23 Jul 52
Taunton, Mass.

I. GENERAL

1. DATE	2. LOCATION	3. TIME
24 Jul 52	Taunton, Mass.	Local: 0215 EDT Zebra: 0715
4. WAS OBJECT OBSERVED FROM THE GROUND?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
		<input type="checkbox"/> Naked Eye <input type="checkbox"/> Binoculars <input type="checkbox"/> Telescope <input type="checkbox"/> Theodolite
5. WAS OBJECT OBSERVED BY GROUND RADAR?		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
		<input type="checkbox"/> By One Set <input type="checkbox"/> By Two Sets <input type="checkbox"/> By Three Sets
6. WAS OBJECT OBSERVED FROM THE AIR?		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
		<input type="checkbox"/> A/C Observed Object <input type="checkbox"/> Interception Attempted <input type="checkbox"/> No Intercept Attempted
7. WERE AIRCRAFT SCRAMBLED TO INTERCEPT?		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
		<input type="checkbox"/> A/C Scrambled <input type="checkbox"/> Visual Contact Made <input type="checkbox"/> A/I Contact Made <input type="checkbox"/> No Contact Made
8. DID OBJECT CHANGE DIRECTION AT ANY TIME?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
		<input type="checkbox"/> Normal <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Violent
9. IF OBJECT WAS A "LIGHT", WAS IT:		<input type="checkbox"/> Blinking <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Steady
10. LENGTH OF TIME IN SIGHT:		<input type="checkbox"/> 1-15 Seconds <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-5 Minutes <input type="checkbox"/> Over 10 Minutes
11. REPORTING AGENCY (Unit Number and Mailing Address)		
Detachment "B", 1st Dist. OSI USAF, Boston, Mass.		

II. ASTRONOMICAL DATA

12. WHAT ASTRONOMICAL ACTIVITY WAS NOTED?

None

13. DID OBJECT APPEAR TO ARCH DOWNWARD?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
14. DID OBJECT HAVE A TAIL?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
15. DID OBJECT APPEAR TO DISINTEGRATE?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
16. TIME OF SIGHTING RELATIVE TO SUNRISE OR SUNSET (Data From Air Almanac)	<input type="checkbox"/> Night <input type="checkbox"/> Day <input type="checkbox"/> Sunrise <input type="checkbox"/> Sunset

III. AIRCRAFT DATA

17. WERE AIRCRAFT NOTED IN AREA?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
	<input type="checkbox"/> One Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> More Than One Aircraft
18. WAS ANY SOUND HEARD?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
19. WERE THERE INDICATIONS OF HIGH BACKGROUND NOISE?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
20. WAS THE OBJECT VIEWED ABOVE 45° ELEVATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

IV. BALLOON DATA

21. WERE BALLOONS RELEASED IN AREA?		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No			
22. TIME SINCE SCHEDULED BALLOON RELEASE:		Minutes				
23. POSSIBLE BALLOON LAUNCH SITES DOWNDOWN OF SIGHTING:						
a.	Location	Type	Launching Agency	Lighted?		Describe Lighting
				Yes	No	
	b.					
	c.					
	d.					

(attach overlay)

V. EVALUATION

21. EVALUATION OF SOURCE:	22. DETAILS OF REPORT:
<input type="checkbox"/> Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Poor <input type="checkbox"/> Unreliable <input type="checkbox"/> Extremely Doubtful <input type="checkbox"/> Hoax	<input type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Poor <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient to Evaluate
23. FINAL EVALUATION:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Was Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Balloon <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Possibly Balloon	<input type="checkbox"/> Was Astronomical <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Astronomical <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Astronomical
<input type="checkbox"/> Was Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Aircraft	<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient Data For Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
24. COMMENTS:	

(PROJECT 10073 WEATHER DATA)

1. DATE OF OBSERVATION 23 Jul 52	2. TIME OF OBSERVATION 0200	3. STATION OBSERVING Foggy Aft Boston, Mass.			
4. WINDS ALOFT:					
ALTITUDE (feet)	VELOCITY (knots)	DIRECTION (degrees)	ALTITUDE (feet)	VELOCITY (knots)	DIRECTION (degrees)
0			25,000		
1,000	8	315°	30,000		
2,000			35,000		
3,000			40,000		
4,000			45,000		
5,000			50,000		
6,000			55,000		
7,000			60,000		
8,000			65,000		
9,000			70,000		
10,000			75,000		
12,000			80,000		
14,000			85,000		
16,000			90,000		
18,000			95,000		
20,000			100,000		
5. WAS AN INVERSION LAYER NOTED? (If yes, at what altitude? _____)			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
6. WERE ANY THUNDERSTORMS NOTED IN AREA? (If yes, at what quadrant? _____)			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
7. CLOUD COVER: ____ tenths at ____ feet. ____ tenths at ____ feet. ____ tenths at ____ feet. ____ tenths at ____ feet.			8. VISIBILITY WAS ____ MILES.		
9. COMMENTS:					

UNCLASSIFIED

1D-OSI 24-150

i. Existing Conditions that might Account for Sighting: None. No physical evidence exists, and no interception action was taken at the Coast Guard Station. However, the incident was reported by ARMPRIESTER to the 654 AGC Radar Station, Brunswick, Maine on 23 July 1952.

ACTIONS

Two (2) copies of this report have been forwarded to the Commanding General, Air Materiel Command, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Dayton, Ohio, Attention: MEIS. No further action is contemplated by this District Office.



ROBERT S. JONES

Lt Colonel, USA
District Commander

cc: ✓ CG, AME (dup)
Cmtr, AFM-MATC (Info)

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

1D-OSI 24-150

29 JUL 1952

SPOT INTELLIGENCE REPORT

SUBJECT: (Unclassified) UNKNOWN SUBJECT(S),
Sighting of Unconventional Aircraft,
Nahant, Mass., 02115-02118 hours, 23 Jul 52.

INFORMATION COPY FORWARDED TO CG, AMC

TO: Director of Special Investigations
Headquarters USAF
Washington 25, D. C.

SUMMARY

On 24 July 1952 a report was received at Detachment "B", 1st District OSI (IG) USAF, Boston, Mass., relative to a sighting of two (2) unidentified objects by a Seaman on watch at Nahant Coast Guard Station, Nahant, Mass. Details of interview with observer set forth. No activity or condition developed that accounts for sighting.

DETAILS

On 24 July 1952 a report was received at Detachment "B", 1st District OSI (IG) USAF, Boston, Mass., to the effect that two (2) unidentified objects had appeared over the Nahant Coast Guard Station at approximately 0215 hours, EDT, on 23 July 1952. On 25 July 1952, Seaman HENRY ANTHONY STALEY, Coast Guard Station, was interviewed by Special Agent JULIUS B. POPTINS and offered the following information:

a. Descriptions: Two (2) bluish lights approximately 5 feet in diameter, appearing as flat, disc-shaped objects having no aerodynamic features and moving without sound or exhaust trail at a speed faster than a four-engine airliner at an altitude of 1,100 to 2,000 feet.

DOWNGRADED AT 3 YEAR INTERVALS;
DECLASSIFIED AFTER 12 YEARS
DOD DIR 5200.10



UNCLASSIFIED